UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA * Case No. 23-CR-146(DG)

*

* Brooklyn, New York

June 20, 2023

*

RACHEL CHERWITZ,

*

Defendant.

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

TRANSCRIPT OF CRIMINAL CAUSE FOR ARRAIGNMENT BEFORE THE HONORABLE CHERYL L. POLLAK UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

V.

For the Government: GILLIAN KASSNER, ESQ.

LAUREN H. ELBERT, ESQ.

Asst. United States Attorney
United States Attorney's Office

271 Cadman Plaza Brooklyn, NY 11201

For the Defendant: JENNY KRAMER, ESQ.

Alston & Bird

90 Park Avenue, 15th Floor New York, NY 10016-1387

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service.

Fiore Reporting and Transcription Service, Inc. 4 Research Drive, Suite 402 Shelton, CT 06484 (203)929-9992

serious harm and threats of serious harm to a person; three,

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the abuse and threatened abuse of law and legal process; and four, one or more schemes, plans and patterns intended to cause a person to believe that if he or she did not perform such labor and services the person would suffer serious harm and physical restraint in violation of the United States law.

And that you benefitted financially by receiving things of value from participation in a venture which has engaged in the providing or obtaining of labor or services by any such means knowing and in reckless disregard of the fact that the venture having engaged in the providing and obtaining of labor and services by any such means again in violation of United States law.

Ms. Cherwitz, first of all, do you understand what a conspiracy is?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Basically it is an agreement between two or more people to engage in some form of unlawful activity.

In this case you're charged (indiscernible) forced labor and to obtain profits as a result of that.

> Do you understand what you are being charged with? THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Now, Counsel, I assume that you are

MS. KRAMER: Yes, I am.

25

retained in this matter?

that bond are going to remain largely unchanged.

With the exception that there's a no contact provision that we would like to modify today as reflected on a bond that the deputy has.

The no contact provision will provide that the defendant shall have no contact outside the presence of her attorneys with her co-defendant, known co-conspirators, or any known alleged victims of the offenses charged in the indictment.

And in the event there's confusion about whether somebody falls in those categories, the defendant should consult with Pretrial Services.

And then the defendant should refrain from speaking about any person's potential testimony in this pending criminal case with any current or former employees, contractors, consultants or members of OneTaste, Inc. except in the presence of counsel.

THE COURT: Okay. You said she should consult with Pretrial. I mean, does Pretrial have a list of the individuals with whom she is not to have any contact?

MS. KASSNER: I think Pretrial and the Government can consult with the Government and we can alert the defendant if there's anybody who she wishes to speak to that we think there's an issue with and then we can hopefully resolve it and if needed raise it with the Court.

	7
1	The bond is in the amount of \$300,000. If Ms.
2	Cherwitz should fail to come back to court at any time when
3	she's required to be here the bond will be revoked and she
4	will be rearrested and the Government can come after the two
5	of you for the amount of the bond, that's the \$300,000.
6	So I want to first find out a little bit of
7	information about you.
8	Ms. Coleman, what is your relationship to Ms.
9	Cherwitz?
10	MS. COLEMAN: Her friend.
11	THE COURT: Okay. How long have you known her?
12	MS. COLEMAN: Ten years.
13	THE COURT: Okay. And do you work?
14	MS. COLEMAN: Yes.
15	THE COURT: What do you do for a living?
16	MS. COLEMAN: (Inaudible) and I'm a project manager
17	for a non-profit.
18	THE COURT: Okay. And approximately how much do
19	you make a year?
20	MS. COLEMAN: Fifty thousand.
21	THE COURT: Okay.
22	And, Mr. Pelletier, how do you know the defendant?
23	MR. PELLETIER: I'm her husband.
24	THE COURT: Okay. And are you employed?
25	MR. PELLETIER: Yes.

both telling me that you're going to make sure that Ms.

25

court. They could lose up to \$300,000.

What will happen to you if you don't make your court appearance is that, as I said, you will be rearrested and at that point you will be thrown in jail until the trial on these charges.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: You also face the possibility that the Government will bring additional charges against you for the separate crime of bail jumping. That's a separate felony offense, carries its own separate term of poisoning. What that means is you could actually be acquitted, found not guilty of the charges in the indictment, and yet end up going to jail for a significant period of time simply because you didn't come back to court when you're supposed to be here.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Okay. You also need to (indiscernible) crimes while you're on bond. If you commit a crime while out on bond that's grounds for revoking your bond, increasing your punishment under the existing charges, and of course bringing new charges against you for whatever new crime you are alleged to have committed.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
THE COURT: Okay. Finally, you are not to threaten
or attempt to influence the testimony of any person who you
think might be a witness against you in this case. If you do
that, that's grounds for revoking bond, increasing your
punishment under these charges, and bringing charges of
witness tampering or obstruction of justice against you.
          Do you understand that?
          THE DEFENDANT: I do.
          THE COURT: Okay. So as the Assistant U.S.
Attorney discussed previously there are a number of
additional conditions here.
          You are to submit to Pretrial Services.
think you will be supervised out in California. They will
tell you when and how you are to report. You are to
surrender any passports.
          Does she have a passport?
          MS. KRAMER: Your Honor, she surrendered her
passport in California.
          THE COURT: Okay. All right. Great.
          And I'm going to direct you, if it wasn't already
done, to not obtain another passport or travel document until
these charges are resolved.
          Do you understand that?
          THE DEFENDANT: I do.
          THE COURT: Okay. You're not to leave the New York
```

```
City area or the Northern District of California except obviously to travel back and forth for work.
```

And you're not to have any contact with your codefendant or any known co-conspirators unless counsel is present.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Okay. And finally I think I've already said this but you're not to have any discussions with people who might be providing testimony in this case, that includes current or former officers of the company, contractors, consultants or other members of OneTaste. Again, unless counsel is present.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: All right.

(Pause)

THE COURT: Okay. Anything else today?

Counsel?

MS. KASSNER: Yes, Your Honor. One further matter.

The Government would request, even though the status conference is tomorrow, we would request an order of excludable delay between today and tomorrow so that the parties can enter into a protective order, exchange discovery, and see if a resolution of the case short of trial

is possible.

And for those reasons the Government would submit that it's in the interest of justice.

THE COURT: All right. Counsel, do you have any objection to this?

MS. KRAMER: No objection. And we executed that order this morning.

THE COURT: So basically, Ms. Cherwitz, under the Constitution and the laws of the United States you're entitled to speedy and public trial by jury within 70 days of the filing of the indictment, that's the formal charges that we just talked about a minute ago.

If the Government fails to bring you to trial within that 70-day time period, that could even be the basis for you to dismiss the charges against you.

What the Government's attorney and your attorney are asking me to do is to enter an order excluding the time from today until you appear before Judge Gujarati tomorrow to take that one day out of that 70-day period so that there's time for the attorneys to engage in an exchange of information about the case and possibly discuss a potential plea.

Do you understand all of that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Do you wish me to enter this order of

```
excludable delay?
```

1.3

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. And I'll note that it's been signed by counsel for the defendant, by the defendant, and by the Assistant U.S. Attorney, and I'm endorsing it as well.

Okay. All right. So I'm required to advise the prosecution to comply with its obligations under Brady vs. Maryland and it's progeny to disclose to the defense all information whether admissible or not that is favorable to the defendant, material either to guilt or punishment and known to the prosecution.

Possible consequences for non-compliance may include dismissal of individual charges or the entire case, exclusion of evidence and professional discipline or court sanctions on the attorneys responsible.

I will be entering a written order more fully describing this obligation and the possible consequences of failing to meet it and I direct the prosecutors to review and comply with that order.

Can you confirm that you understand your obligations and will fulfill them?

MS. KASSNER: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Anything else?

MS. KRAMER: Nothing, Your Honor. Thank you.